

Motivation

The Problem:

- Analyzing massive amounts of data is now critical for many commercial and scientific applications.
- The analysis of such datasets may require processing tens, possibly hundreds of terabytes of data.
- Big Data Management Systems comprise a solution to the requirements of processing massive datasets in a highly scalable and distributed fashion.
- Grouping operations (large dataset aggregators, with group-specific functions) are considered among the most useful operations for data processing and analysis.
- Similarity groups contain similar records, instead of exact matches, thus enabling the composition of more useful data analysis queries.

Our Contribution:

- The design of a highly distributed, scalable algorithm (MR-SGB) that performs similarity grouping on massive datasets.
- Implementation of the proposed algorithm on Apache Hadoop, a widely used open source Big Data framework.

General Considerations

- 1. A *similarity group* is defined as a set of points where each point is within **epsilon** from any other point in the group.
- 2. MR-SGB is proposed as:
 - A. An initial way to explore the distribution and clusters in the data.
 - B. An alternative, more compact way to identify pairs of similar objects (Similarity Join).
- 3. Our algorithm will be based on partitioning the data into smaller partitions. The algorithm will generate these partitions around a set of special points named **pivots**.
- 4. We will have one partition for each pivot.
- 5. A cluster could potentially be contained in multiple partitions; however, it should be output into/generated in only one of them.
- 6. Our criteria will be to output the cluster in the partition that corresponds to the minimum base partition of the points contained in the cluster.

For instance: given 4 pivots and the cluster has points belonging to base partitions #2 and #3, then this cluster should be output when Partition #2 is being processed.

• We can increase the number of pivots (k) such that all the partitions are small enough to be processed in a single node. • For the unlikely case that we still have a large partition, we will add a second level of partitioning.

Similarity Grouping for Big Data Faculty: Yasin Silva Students: Nathan Middlebrook and Jeremy Starks Arizona State University

General Algorithm

Key properties of the single-round algorithm:

Algorithm:

Execute algorithm (round 1)

- For each partition P_i
 - If P_i can be processed in a single node, then we do so
 - Else, we save P_i in the distributed file system
- For each partition P_i that was saved
- Execute the second-level algorithm (round 2)

The second level algorithm is similar to the first level one, with the difference being that we need to keep track of the history of flags for each partition.

Algorithm for K Pivots

. **Partition** the data [Map]

- Duplicate the points in overlapping areas (each base partition is extended by *epsilon*)
- Structure of each record: {*RecordID*, *RecordContent*, AssignedPartition, BasePartition}

 - *BasePartition*: This is the *ID* of the *pivot* that is closest to the current record

 - AssignedPartition: This is the ID of the pivot associated to the current partition
- 2. For each partition P_i , cluster the points in P_i [Reduce] For each partition, we know the value of *i* by looking at the AssignedPartition component of any record Structure of each cluster C_n : {SetOfPoints, $[f_1, f_2, ..., f_n]$

 - f_k]
 - Observe that the array has k elements, where k is the number of *pivots*
 - f_s is a binary flag that is 1 if there is at least one record X in the Cluster such that X.BasePartition = s, 0 otherwise
- 3. For each partition P_i , output the clusters (without duplicating clusters) [Reduce]
- - $minFlag = index of minimum value in C_n.[f_1, f_2,$ \dots, f_k] that is 1
 - If (i = minFlag) then output C_n , otherwise don't output it (it will be outputted somewhere else)

Example: Case of 2 Pivots



Partitioning and Generation of Similarity Groups

Goals:

- \bullet
- partition

Solution (using two pivots/partitions):

- Partition the input using two pivots (P_0 and P_1) such that each point belongs to the partition of its closest pivot Additionally, duplicate the points in the ε -windows (C and D). Part0 = A+C+D, Part1 = C+D+B.
- Identify the similarity groups in each partition as follows: In partition Part0: In partition Part1: <u>If group</u> Solely in A In A and C
 - Solely in C In C and D Solely in D

For each Cluster C_n in partition P_i

Partition the initial dataset into two partitions such that we can still identify all the similarity groups (G_1-G_7) Each similarity group should be generated in only one

• -		
<u>hen</u>	<u>lf group</u>	<u>Then</u>
Generate	Solely in C	Ignore
Generate	In C and D	Ignore
Generate	Solely in D	Generate
Generate	In D and B	Generate
gnore	Solely in B	Generate

In the example, similarity groups G_1 , G_2 , G_3 , and G_4 are generated in Part0 while G₅,G₆, and G₇ in Part1

Experimental Setup

Datasets

- 2. Synthetic dataset

Experiments

- operator

- grouping operator

Preliminary Results

K-means (k=10), SGB (eps=1, pivots=10), GB (count), dataset (2D, small)



- 1) Silva, Y. N., Aref, W., Ali, M. Similarity Group-by. In: ICDE (2009)
- VLDB/Cloud-I (2012)
- SIGMOD 2010 (2010)
- MapReduce. In: ICDE (2012)
- joins of multisets and vectors. In: VLDB (2012)
- WWW (2008)

Real dataset: YearPredictionMSD (UCI ML Repository) Vector, 90D, size(SF1): 200K

Vector, 9xD, size(SF1): 200K

Execution time varying dataset size (SF1-SF5) Execution time varying dimensionality (2D, 5D, 25D, 100D, 200D) Execution time varying epsilon (1%-5%)

Algorithms (implemented using the MapReduce Big Data framework) Similarity Group-by (MR-SGB): proposed similarity grouping

K-means (MR-Kmeans): standard clustering algorithm Group-by (MR-GB): standard non-similarity-based database

> MR-SGB performs **significantly better** than K-means. Moreover, MR-SGB's execution time is only 2.59 times the one of MR-GB, which only groups records with exactly the same value.

1.2167 0.4667 MR-SGB MR-GB ALGORITHM

References

2) Silva, Y.N., Reed, J.M.: Exploiting MapReduce-based similarity joins. In: SIGMOD (2012) 3) Silva, Y.N., Reed, J.M., Tsosie, L.M.: MapReduce-based similarity join for metric spaces. In:

4) Vernica, R., Carey, M.J., Li, C.: Efficient parallel set-similarity joins using MapReduce. In:

5) Afrati, F.N., Sarma, A.D., Menestrina, D., Parameswaran, A., Ullman, J.D.: Fuzzy joins using

6) Okcan, A., Riedewald, M.: Processing theta-joins using MapReduce. In: SIGMOD (2011) 7) Metwally, A., Faloutsos, C.: V-SMART-join: a scalable MapReduce framework for all-pair similarity

8) Xiao, C., Wang, W., Lin, X., Yu, J.X.: Efficient similarity joins for near duplicate detection. In: