Motivation

ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY

The Problem

- Big-Data systems have been introduced to efficient process and analyze massive amounts of data.
- One of the key data processing and analysis operations is the Similarity Join (SJ), which finds similar pairs of objects of two datasets.
- Several SJ techniques for Big-Data have been proposed but most of these techniques were developed in parallel and thus did not compare with alternative approaches.
- Consequently, there is not a clear understanding of how these techniques compare to each other and which technique to use in specific scenarios.

Our Contribution

- The core goal of the proposed project is to address this problem by focusing on the study, classification and benchmarking of all the SJ techniques proposed for Big-Data systems.
- Open source implementation of all the algorithms using the Hadoop Map-Reduce platform (consider the main framework to process Big Data)

Similarity Join Example

Goal: Find pairs of restaurants and movie theaters that are close to each other. "close" = within ε of one another



This research project is supported by an Amazon Research grant.

MapReduce-based Similarity Join Survey Students: Kyle Gervais, A.J. Wadsworth, Jason Reed (alumnus) Faculty: Yasin Silva Arizona State University

Classification of Algorithms

Papers	Algorithm	Compatible Distance Functions	Data Type			
			Text/Strings	Numeric	Vector	Set
Fuzzy Joins	FJ-Naïve	Any	Y	Y	Y	Y
	Ball Hashing 1	HD, ED	Y			
	Ball Hashing 2	HD, ED	Y			
	Subsequence	ED	Y			
	Splitting	HD, ED	Y			
	Hamming Code (specific case)	HD	Y			
	Anchor Points	HD, ED	Y	Υ*	Y*	
Theta Join	MRThetaJoin	Any	Y	Y	Y	Y
MRSimJoin	MRSimJoin	Any metric DF	Y	Y	Y	Υ
Set Join	MRSetJoin	ED, JD, Tanimoto coefficient, cosine coefficient				Y
V-SMART	Online Aggregation	Any set similarity ⁺				Υ
	Lookup	Any set similarity [†]				Υ
	Sharding	Any set similarity [†]				Υ

*could reasonably be extended to support this data type

 † Set similarities include: Jaccard, Ruzicka (generalization of Jaccard), Dice, cosine, and vector cosine.

- We use a real bibliography dataset: Harvard Dataset supported by the algorithms (string, vectors, sets, etc.)
- Number of records in the dataset (SF1): 2,000,000

Types of Experimental Tests

- Increasing distance threshold (ϵ)
- Increasing data size (scale factor)
- Increasing number of cluster nodes and data size For String data:
- Increasing length of strings
- Increasing alphabet size
- Variable string length Vs fixed length For Vector data:
- Increasing dimensionality



HD: Hamming Distance ED: Edit Distance JD: Jaccard Distance

Dataset

We extracted multiple attributes and generated text attributes for the different data types

The datasets for SF greater than 1 were generated in such a way that the number of links of any SJ operation in SFN are N times the number of links of the operation in SF1

- For instance, for vector data, the datasets for higher SFs were obtained adding shifted copies of the SF1 dataset such that the separation between the region of new vectors and the region of previous vectors is greater than the maximum distance threshold used in our tests

ds)

Preliminary Experimental Results (SF1)



Next Steps

Epsilon (miles)

We have classified the algorithms based on the supported distance functions and data types We have completed the implementation of all the algorithms using the Hadoop MapReduce framework

We well focus next on the execution of the tests using Amazon cloud services

After running all the experimental tests, we will identify cases where specific approaches clearly outperform others

We plan to write a paper presenting the results of our work