## **Arizona State** University

# Motivation

### **The Problem**

- Analyzing massive amounts of data is critical for many commercial and scientific applications.
- Big Data Systems like Apache Hadoop and Spark enable the analysis of very large datasets in a highly parallel and scalable way.
- Grouping operations are among the most useful operators for data processing and analysis.
- Simple grouping operations are fast but are limited to equality-based grouping. More sophisticated grouping techniques capture complex groups but often at a steep increase in execution time.
- Previous work introduced the Similarity Grouping (SG) operator which aims to have fast execution times and capture complex groups. SG, however, was proposed for single node relational database systems.

### **Our Contributions**

- We introduce the Distributed Similarity Grouping (**DSG**) operator to efficiently identify similarity groups in big datasets.
- 2. DSG supports the identification of similarity groups where all the elements of a group are within a given threshold (E) from each other.
- DSG guarantees that each group is generated only once.
- DSG can be used with any metric and supports many data types.
- We present guidelines to implement DSG in both Apache Spark and Hadoop.
- 6. We extensively assess DSG's performance and scalability properties.

# General DSG Algorithm

- DSG uses pivot-based data partitioning to distribute and parallelize the computational tasks.
- The goal is to divide a large dataset into partitions that can be processed independently and in parallel to identify the similarity groups.
- The pivots are a subset of input data records and each pivot is associated with a partition.
- Each input record is assigned to the partition associated with its closes pivot. DSG also replicates the records at the boundary between partitions.
- If a partition is small enough to be processed at a single node, the algorithm will identify groups in that partition.
- If this is not the case, the partition is stored for further processing in a subsequent round
- DSG is a multi-round algorithm.
- In practice, we can increase the number of pivots such that all the partitions are small enough to be processed in a single round.
- DSG keeps track of the history of partitions assigned to each record.

### **Overall Algorithm**

- Partition the input data using a set of pivots
- For each partition  $P_i$  obtained in this round
- If  $P_i$  can be processed in a single node, then we do so
- Else, we save  $P_i$  for further processing
- For each  $P_i$  saved for further processing Execute a new round to re-partition  $P_i$

- - One master

- (# of vCPUs)

### Data

	Input: input
	groups in <i>inp</i>
1	pivots = selection
2	//Partitionin
3	basePartition
3	for each reco
4	$P_c = \text{getClo}$
5	output $\langle P_c,$
6	for each pi
7	<b>if</b> (dist( <i>r</i> ,
8	output
9	end if
10	end for
11	end for
12	//Shuffle: red
13	//Group For
14	for each part
15	if size of P
16	store $P_i$ for
17	else
18	$C_i = \text{find}$
19	//C <sub>i_k</sub> :{ <i>rea</i>
20	//Output
21	for each
22	generat
	//of 1st
23	aPartit
24	// <i>r</i> 1s an
25	if ∀o,m
26	outpu
27	end if
28	end for
29	ena II
30	ena for

# Similarity Grouping in Big Data Systems Yasin N. Silva, Manuel Sandoval, Diana Prado, Xavier Wallace, Chuitian Rong Arizona State University

# Test Setup

Algorithms (Implemented using Apache Hadoop and Spark)

Distributed Similarity Grouping (DSG): proposed similarity grouping operator

**K-means**: standard clustering algorithm

Standard Grouping: standard non-similarity-based grouping operator

### **Computer Cluster**

• Fully distributed clusters in Google Cloud Platform. • Default cluster configuration:

• Ten worker nodes

• Each node used the Cloud Dataproc 1.3 image and had 4 virtual CPUs, 15 GB of memory and 500 GB of disk space. • Number of reducers per Hadoop job:  $0.95 \times (\# \text{ of worker})$ nodes)  $\times$  (# of vCPUs per node - 1)

• Number of splits per Spark job:  $2 \times (\# \text{ of worker nodes}) \times$ 

• We implemented a parametrized synthetic dataset generator. • The datasets are composed of multidimensional vector-based similarity groups separated by 2E.

• DSG and K-Means are expected to have the same output. • Standard Grouping only identifies equality-based groups. • Each data record consisted of an ID, an aggregation attribute, and a multidimensional vector.

• Dataset Size (Scale Factor): 200,000 (SF1) – 1,000,000 (SF5) • Dimensionality: 100D, 200D, 300D, 400D, and 500D

The SF1 datasets contains about 13,000 similarity groups and each of them contained 50 to 100 records. Each record was duplicated between 1 and 3 times.







## Main Algorithm

Algorithm 1 *DistSimGrouping* 

*tData*, *eps*, *numPivots*, *memT* **Output**: similarity putData

ectPivots(*numPivots*, *inputData*) **ng** - *r*: (*ID*, *value*, *assignedPartitionSeq*, nSea)

ord *r* in a chunk of *inputData* **do** osestPivot(r, pivots)  $r\rangle$  //intermediate output ivot p in {pivots- $P_c$ } do

 $(r, p) - \operatorname{dist}(r, P_c))/2 \le eps$  then  $\langle p, r \rangle$  //intermediate output

ecords with same key => partition rmation

tition  $P_i$  **do**  $P_i > memT$  then for processing in subsequent round

 $\operatorname{lSimGroups}(P_i, eps) //C_i: \{C_i \},$ *ecords*, *flags*, *flags*:  $\{F_m\}$ ,  $F_m$ :  $\{f_m, n\}$ 

t Generation (without duplication) cluster  $C_{i,k}$  in partition  $P_i$  do te *minFlags* //*minFlags*[o]={index t element in  $C_i$ .flags[o] equal to 1} tionSeq = r.assignedPartitionSeq ny record in  $P_i$ 

ninFlags[o]=aPartitionSeq[o] **then** ut  $C_{i k}$  //final output



Generated Partitions

## **Experimental Results**



Execution Time-Groups Identified - DSG - K-means - StandardGrouping

## Increasing Dimensionality

# Increasing Number of Pivots and Memory Threshold



# Example with Two Pivots



Execution Time-Groups Identified - DSG - K-means - StandardGrouping

# References

- Apache. Hadoop. https://hadoop.apache.org/.
- Apache. Spark. https://spark.apache.org/.
- 3) J. Dean and S. Ghemawat. Mapreduce: simplified data processing on large clusters. In OSDI, 2004. 4) F. Chang, J. Dean, S. Ghemawat, W. C. Hsieh, D. A. Wallach, M. Burrows, T.
- Chandra, A. Fikes, and R. E. Gruber. Bigtable: A distributed storage system for structured data. ACM Trans. Comput. Syst., 26(2): 1–26, 2008. 5) H. Garcia-Molina, J. Ullman, and J. Widom. Database Systems: The
- Complete Book. Pear-son, 2nd Edition.
- 6) J. Gray, A. Bosworth, A. Layman, and H. Pirahesh: Data Cube: A Relational Aggregation Operator Generalizing Group-By, Cross-Tab, and Sub-Totals. In ICDE, 1996.
- S. P. Lloyd. (1982). Least squares quantization in PCM. IEEE Trans. on Information Theo-ry. 28 (2): 129–137, 1982
- M. Ester, H.P. Kriegel, J. Sander, and X. Xu. A Density-Based Algorithm for Discovering Clusters. In KDD, 1996.
- 9) Y. N. Silva, W. G. Aref, and M. Ali. Similarity Group-by. In ICDE, 2009. 10) M. Tang, R. Y. Tahboub, W. G. Aref, M. J. Atallah, Q. M. Malluhi, M. Ouzzani, and Y. N. Silva. Similarity Group-by Operators for Multi-dimensional Relational Data. IEEE Trans. on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 28(2): 510-523, 2016.
- 11) P. Berkhin. Survey of clustering data mining techniques. Accrue Software,
- 12) M. Li, G. Holmes, and B. Pfahringer. Clustering large datasets using Cobweb and K-Means in tandem. The Australian Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2004.
- 13) F. Farnstrom, J. Lewis., and C. Elkan: Scalability for clustering algorithms revisited. SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter, 2 (1): 51–57, 2000.
- 14) S. Guha, R. Rastogi, and K. Shim. CURE: An efficient clustering algorithm for large data-bases. In SIGMOD Record, 27(2): 73–84, 1999. 15) P. P. Anchalia, A. K. Koundinya and S. N. K. MapReduce Design of K-Means
- Clustering Algorithm. In ICISA, 2013. 16) Apache. Spark Clustering. https://spark.apache.org/docs/latest/mlclustering.html.
- 17) Y. N. Silva, M. Arshad, and W. G. Aref. Exploiting Similarity-aware Grouping in Decision Support Systems. In EDBT, 2009.
- 18) E. H. Jacox and H. Samet. Metric space similarity joins. ACM Trans. Database Syst., 33(2):7:1–7:38, 2008.