Exploiting Common Subexpressions for Cloud Query Processing

Yasin N. Silva¹, Per-Ake Larson² and Jingren Zhou³ ¹Arizona State University, ²Microsoft Research, ³Microsoft Corporation

Motivation

The Problem

- Cloud scripts often contain common subexpressions (CSEs).
- Initial aggregations are further aggregated or joined in several parts of the script.
- A conventional optimizers will produce a plan that evaluates these expressions multiple times.

A SCOPE Script

R0 = EXTRACT A, B, C, D, E FROM " ... \test.log " USING LogExtractor;

- R = SELECT A, B, C, Sum(D) as S FROM R0 GROUP BY A, B, C;
- R1 = SELECT A, B, Sum(S) as S1 FROM R GROUP BY A, B;
- R2 = SELECT B, C, Sum(S) as S2 FROM RGROUP BY B,C;

OUTPUT R1 TO "result1.out"; OUTPUT R2 TO "result2.out";



Our Contribution

- We present a framework to correctly optimize cloud scripts that contain CSEs.
- CSEs are executed once and their results used by multiple consumers.
- The selection of the best plan is performed in a cost-based fashion.





SCOPE



1. Identifying CSEs

2. Recording **Physical Properties**

3. Propagating information about shared groups and identifying LCAs

• Subexpression fingerprints are employed to quickly identify CSEs. • A fingerprint is a highly compressed representation of a subexpression.

• At every shared node, we maintain the history of the physical properties for which an optimization task is created.

• The history of properties is stored as a linked list at every shared node.

- The information about shared groups is propagated bottom-up from the shared groups to the root.
- The process also identifies, for each shared subexpression S, the least common ancestor group (LCA) of the consumers of S.



4. Re-optimizing the query enforcing physical properties

Handling Large Scripts

Experimental Results

LCA(4,5)



Conventional

optimization

- This step re-optimizes the query enforcing physical properties at the shared groups.
- When an LCA node G is found, the process reoptimizes the subexpression rooted in G propagating

Exploiting Independent Shared Groups

• If multiple shared groups with the same LCA are *independent*, they can be re-optimized independently.

a set of physical properties to be enforced in the CSE.



LCA(7,8), LCA(9,10) Sequence Example - Required rounds: • $\{p1,q1\}, \{p2,q1\}, \dots, \{p8,q1\}$ 11 Output) 12 Output 13 Output At this point we know best $p(p_{best})$ 7 (GB (R1)) 9 GB (T2) 8 (GB (R2) 5 Spool p1 p2 p8 • $\{p_{best}, q2\}, \{p_{best}, q3\}, \dots, \{p_{best}, q8\}$ q1 q2 ···· q8 3 GB (R) 4 (GB (T) 15 rounds (instead of 64) 2 Extract (T0) 1 Extract (R0)

14 Output

10(GB (T1)

Performing Promising Rounds Early

- Shared groups are ranked based on potential repartitioning savings.
- Property sets are ranked based on the number of times they generated a best local plan during Phase 1.

Optimization exploiting common subexpressions

Experimental Evaluation Results

